

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/831,951	OSANAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644	

All Participants:

(1) Patrick J. Nolan.

(2) Paul White.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agree to put a control in claim 13 and 22 to make the claim complete. Applicant requested the addition of claims 28 and 29. Applicant agreed to shorten the abstract to comport with the new length requirement.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)